



Volume 1 Number 28

September 29, 2005

IN TODAY'S REPORT

1. Standing With Tom DeLay
2. Will The President Now Give Us A Thomas or Scalia?
3. Judicial Activist Rolls Back Michigan Marriage Amendment
4. Action Alert - The War On Faith And The Values Voter in 2006, March 27-28, 2006

STANDING WITH TOM DELAY

After a seemingly interminable witch hunt, prosecutor Ronnie Earle - a veteran Democratic operative -- finally persuaded a Texas grand jury to hand down a felony indictment on one count of criminal conspiracy against Tom DeLay, for an alleged violation of the state's campaign finance laws.

No objective observer familiar with the case can avoid the conclusion that the prosecution is politically motivated. The left considers the House Majority Leader public enemy #1 for two reasons: He's a conservative and a born-again Christian. And he's as effective as anyone in Washington at advancing the pro-life/pro-family agenda.

The left is already gloating over its great victory in obtaining this politically motivated indictment. "Make your contribution today, the Republican revolution is over," reads an e-mail from the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee. Not so fast, my friends. This is still America, where a man is innocent until proven guilty. I have every confidence that my friend Tom DeLay - whom I know to be a man of principle and the highest integrity - will be vindicated.

In the meantime, reflect on the fact that it was demonstrated beyond a shadow of a doubt that our last president lied under oath. Not only was he not impeached, but Bill Clinton wasn't even prosecuted for this felony, although he did lose his license to practice law in Arkansas.

Human justice may be flawed. God's is not. Tom DeLay is a great man, whom I firmly believe will make his most valuable contributions to the cause of God, family and country in the future.

WILL THE PRESIDENT NOW GIVE US A THOMAS OR A SCALIA?

Today, the Senate voted 78 to 22 to confirm the nomination of John Roberts as the 17th Chief Justice of the United States. Ultimately, half of the Democrats in the Senate decided there wasn't enough of a paper trail to vote against the 50-year-old successor to Chief Justice William Rehnquist, who died earlier this month.

But a much larger confrontation looms before us - the battle to confirm a successor to Justice Sandra Day O'Connor.

Roberts impact on the court remains to be seen. Robert Bork, as prescient an observer as any, believes that while Roberts is a conservative, he's hardly in the mold of Clarence Thomas or Antonin Scalia (justices who the president promised would serve as models for his Supreme Court nominees) and will not lead the Court to overturn Roe v. Wade.

As I told a reporter for the Cox News service recently, President Bush must be true to his word. He must keep faith with the folks who elected him twice. I am praying that he will.

He did not promise us that he'd nominate candidates without a paper trail. He did not promise to select judges who would be easily confirmed by the Senate. He promised to give us justices who were constitutionalists. He promised us justices who were committed, body and soul, to reading the Constitution in the light of the Founders' intent.

In other words, he promised us Supreme Court nominees like Scalia and Thomas. We are praying for nothing less.

JUDICIAL ACTIVIST ROLLS BACK MICHIGAN MARRIAGE AMENDMENT

Once again, an activist judge has reversed the will of the people. Last year, Michigan voters approved an amendment to their state constitution which defines marriage as only between a man and a woman. The vote was 59% to 41%.

On Tuesday, Ingham County Judge Joyce Dragunchuk ruled that agencies of the state, including universities, could offer marital benefits (including insurance coverage) to same-sex couples. This, the judge held, is completely compatible with the newly enacted amendment.

This ruling defies reason and is yet the latest example of a liberal judge reading his or her political views into the law. Does anyone really believe that Michigan voters intended to reserve only the name "marriage" for a man and a woman, but to bestow all of the benefits on homosexuals?

A run-away judiciary is the greatest danger to democracy and Judeo-Christian morality. Go to www.stopactivistjudges.org and see what you can do to stop this flagrant abuse of power by judges.

THE WAR ON FAITH AND THE VALUES VOTER IN 2006, MARCH 27-28, 2006

As we noted in our last report, our Fall conference has been rescheduled to March 27-28, 2006. The War on Faith and the Values Voter in 2006 is still being

held at the Omni Shoreham Hotel in Washington, DC, and we still expect it to be groundbreaking in every way. We'll have more details for you next week, and in the weeks that follow - or visit the Vision America website, www.visionamerica.us.

TEXAS VOTERS

"Texas Senate passed a constitutional marriage amendment May 21, making it the fifth state this year to send such an amendment to voters."

"The amendment, which would protect the traditional definition of marriage by banning both 'gay marriage' and civil unions, will go to voters Nov. 8."(quoted from bpnews.net)

visit www.txmarriage.com

Help us fight the War on Faith - Get involved by following the action items above and by forwarding this alert to your friends and family. Your financial contributions are always appreciated. [Click here to contribute](#).
Dr. Rick Scarborough is the president of [Vision America](#) and chairman for the [Judeo-Christian Council](#).